

**Sr. No.233
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.7732 of 2014
Date of Decision.19.10.2015**

Rakesh Kumarpetitioner

Versus

Nirmal Dass and others
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Pritam Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

None for the respondents.

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

The application for impleadment is sought in a suit for injunction by a person claiming to be *gair marusi* tenant and in actual possession and his own presence would be necessary in adjudication where the issue of possession was relevant .

The contention by the plaintiff was that the applicant was relying on false and fabricated entries and that he cannot be permitted to be impleaded. The Court has observed that the applicant was claiming a status of tenant in possession and the adjudication in suit required the person in possession to be assessed. It was just and necessary that it should be done in the presence of person claiming to be in possession through entries in

public documents.

The decision is well taken and there is no caused for interference. Revision petition is dismissed.

19.10.2015
vandana

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE