

**236 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.7731 of 2014

Date of Decision: September 21, 2015

Amrik Singh

.... Petitioner

vs.

Maghar Singh and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. P.S. Jammu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sherry K. Singla, Advocate for the respondents.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 16.08.2014 passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Dabwali, vide which the application filed by the present petitioner under Order I, Rule 10 CPC for impleading himself as necessary party, was dismissed.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have also carefully gone through the case file.

It comes out that the plaintiffs-respondents have filed a suit for permanent injunction against the defendant. The prayer of the present petitioner is to become party, which was declined.

I am of the view that if the plaintiffs-respondents are not seeking any injunction against the present petitioner, therefore, they

cannot be compelled to seek injunction against the present petitioner.

Therefore, the present petitioner has no right to become the party.

If the petitioner has some claim against the present petitioner, he can file a separate suit.

Accordingly, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

September 21, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE