

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

216

CR No.7828 of 2012

DATE OF DECISION: March 23, 2015.

State Bank of India

....Petitioner.

VERSUS

Satinderpal Singh and another

....Respondents.

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Nitin Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

None for the respondents.

SABINA, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition challenging the order dated 18.08.2012, whereby execution petition filed by the petitioner was dismissed under Order 9 Rule 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908.

Service of respondents is complete through publication but none has appeared on their behalf.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in compliance of order passed by the Executing Court dated 18.02.2012, petitioner shall do the needful forthwith.

Bank has filed the suit for recovery and the same was decreed.

It appears that due to some lack of communication, the bank could not file

the necessary process fee in terms of the order passed by the Executing Court dated 18.02.2012.

Accordingly, this petition is allowed. Impugned order dated 18.08.2012 is set aside. Petitioner Bank is granted one opportunity to enable it to do the needful.

Petitioner is directed to appear before the Executing Court on 20.04.2015.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

March 23, 2015.

komal