

114.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.8012 of 2015

Date of decision:30.11.2015

Kiran Ohri and others

... Petitioners

versus

Kishan Gopal Ohri alias Raju

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Sukhjit Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. The revision is against an order of maintenance determining ₹ 6,000/- as payable to the wife and two children. The wife's contention was that the husband is doing business in building materials earning ₹50,000/- and the defendant's contention was that he was unemployed and sitting at home. With no evidence available on either side, the court did what was appropriate and directed that the husband must give at least the bare subsistence to the wife and children and provided ₹6,000/- to be paid and also directed ₹8,000/- to be paid towards litigation expenses.

2. There appears to have been a petition for maintenance under Section 125 Cr.P.C. and the court after trial has granted ₹4,500/-. It must be noticed that the court has actually provided for an amount more than what was determined after evidence let in by

both parties in the Criminal Court. There is no warrant of further enhancement. I find the order to be appropriate and would call for no intervention. The revision petition is dismissed.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

30.11.2015
sanjeev