

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 7991 of 2015 (O/M)
Date of decision : 30.11.2015

Subrati Khan

..... Revisionist

Versus

Smt. Mehmoodi

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Virender Rana, Advocate, for the revisionist.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 17.11.2015 (Annexure-P-8), passed by the learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Mewat, vide which the evidence of the defendant has been closed by court order.

I have heard the learned counsel for the revisionist and have also carefully gone through the file.

It comes out that as per the order dated 16.9.2015, passed by this Court in CR No. 6089 of 2015, the defendant was required to take the summons dasti and produce the witnesses. As

CR No. 7991 of 2015 (O/M)

per the statement of the learned counsel for the revisionist, four opportunities were given to the defendant, but he failed to conclude the evidence.

I am of the view that under the amended provisions of Code of Civil Procedure, only three opportunities have to be given to the defendant to conclude his evidence, but the defendant failed to do so. The defendant wants to get agreement of sale produced from the son of the plaintiff. The said agreement of sale is not an issue in the present case. As such, there is no illegality or infirmity in the impugned order. Hence, the present revision is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

30.11.2015
sjks