

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

116

**Civil Revision No.7988 of 2015
Date of Decision:30.11.2015**

M/s Arjun Dev Gopi Chand

....petitioner

Versus

Yogesh Kumar Ghai

.....respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

- 1.Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?**
- 2.To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3.Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr.Ishan Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner

ARUN PALLI, J.(ORAL):-

Vide order being assailed, dated 26.10.2015, rendered by Rent Controller, Sangrur, application moved by the petitioner-tenant to examine an accountant and officials of PSPCL, had since been dismissed.

As indicated by the Rent Controller, vide additional evidence, what is sought to be brought on record by the petitioner is the number of items which are not taxable in handloom and are being sold in the shop in question and also to prove the defect in the electric meter, correction of bill and replacement of electricity meter in the premises. Apparently, the proposed evidence has no relevance or bearing on the matter in issue for, concededly, eviction of the petitioner-tenant has been sought on account of change of user and as the petitioner is alleged to have ceased to occupy the demised premises.

Learned counsel for the petitioner could not point out as to how the conclusion arrived at by the Rent Controller is either contrary to the position on record or suffered from any material illegality.

That being so, no interference in exercise of revisional jurisdiction under Article 227 of the Constitution of India is warranted. The petition being devoid of merit is accordingly dismissed.

30.11.2015

neenu

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**