

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 7985 of 2015 (O/M)  
Date of decision : 30.11.2015

Manmohan Singh

..... Revisionist

Versus

Darshan Singh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Dhirinder Chopra, Advocate, for the revisionist.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Vide impugned order dated 29.10.2015 (Annexure-P-1), two applications were dismissed by the learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Nihal Singh Wala.

I have heard the learned counsel for the revisionist and have also carefully gone through the file.

The first application was for framing of additional issues. The lower Court has come to the conclusion that there is no requirement to frame additional issues as the dispute regarding exchange deed can be decided under issue No. 1, which has already been framed. The second application was for additional

CR No. 7985 of 2015 (O/M)

-2-

evidence, vide which thumb impressions of Joginder Singh on the photostat copy are sought to be got compared with the thumb impression appearing on document. The lower Court is of the view that it is a photostat copy, which has been exhibited after taking permission from the Court to lead secondary evidence. The lower Court has further found that the thumb impression of Joginder Singh on the photostat copy is not clear as visible to the naked eyes and are beyond comparison. The said applications were filed at the stage of arguments. I concur with the findings recorded by the lower Court and find no ground to interfere in the said order.

Accordingly, the present revision is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)  
JUDGE

30.11.2015  
sjks