

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.7855 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 12.01.2015**

Radhey Shyam

...Petitioner

Versus

Veena and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

1. *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

Present: Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K. Agnihotri, Advocate
for the respondents.

R.P. Nagrath, J. (Oral)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to file application for amendment of the plaint with a prayer for possession instead of mandatory injunction or other appropriate application.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

On moving such an application, the trial Court would proceed to decide the same, in accordance with law.

12.01.2015

sk

(R.P. Nagrath)
Judge