

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

Sr.No.**228**

CR-7653-2014

DARSHAN KUMAR

.....Petitioner

versus

RAVI DUTT

.....Respondent

AND

CR-7509-2014

Decided on: 15.05.2015

DARSHAN KUMAR

.....Petitioner

versus

RAVI DUTT

.....Respondent

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.KANNAN

Present: Mr. K.S.Rekhi, Advocate, for the petitioner(S).

Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate, for the respondent(s).

K.KANNAN, J (ORAL)

Since both these petitions are inter-connected, they, are being disposed of by a common order.

There is already an order passed on 12.03.2015. Certain amount is payable by way of rent for the past period and future. The amount paid by the tenant was ₹24612/- and the landlord has an objection that the amount payable was ₹27644/- and the amount calculated is not correct.

Counsel for the tenant states that he is ready to pay the shortfall while the counsel for the landlord says that no indulgence will be given.

I exercise my power of discretion and allow the shortfall to be paid to the landlord and the same be paid within two days from today.

If said amount is paid then the delay in making the payment and the shortfall shall stand condoned. Order of ejectment already passed is set aside and the trial on all matters will go.

The consequence of non payment in future will enable the landlord to obtain eviction in accordance with law.

CR-7653-2014

-2-

Counsel for the landlord says that he will give the account number of the landlord and the tenant shall deposit the same.

Both the petitions disposed of.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

15.05.2015/mamta