

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.7934 of 2015

Date of Decision.27.11.2015

Shallu Vij

.....Petitioner

Versus

Vikrampal Bansal and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Ashok Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. A SLP which is said to have been filed by the defendant against the decree granted will determine his rights and an objection taken at the execution stage that a direction for refund could not have been made, cannot be entertained by the Executing Court. It was correctly dismissed and there is no scope for intervention.
2. The revision petition is dismissed.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

November 27, 2015
Pankaj*