

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.7622 of 2014

Date of decision: 16.03.2015

Manvirinder Singh Virk (@ Manvinder Singh ... Petitioner

versus

Subash Singla and another Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Manvirinder Singh Virk @ Manvinder Singh,
petitioner in person.

None for the respondents.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. There is no representation for the respondents.
2. The application is against the order amending the plaint for the whole of the property of 22 kanals-4 marlas. The objection taken by the defendant was that the suit was only for 6 kanals and the suit having been instituted in 2005 cannot be permitted to be amended for the larger extent of property at the fag end of trial and that too, beyond a period of 3 years from the time when the agreement was written. The agreement for which specific enforcement was sought was dated 22.03.2002. The petition for amendment was brought in the year 2014.

3. The application was clearly barred by limitation and the defendant was bound to be seriously prejudiced by the plaintiff's attempt to enlarge his claim to entitlement. The order allowing amendment application is erroneous and it is set aside. The civil revision is allowed.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

16.03.2015
sanjeev