

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.7615 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision : 23.12.2015

Mahinder Kaur and ors.

...Petitioners

Versus

Ranjit Singh and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. H.K. Brinda, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Pritam Saini, Advocate for respondent Nos.1, 2 and 4.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner Nos.2 to 5 who are LR's of Ram Sahai vide order dated 11.11.2014 have been called upon to deposit an amount of ₹15,52,914/- alongwith interest @ 9% p.a. as awarded by the District and Sessions Judge, Ropar vide order dated 08.09.2014 w.e.f. 30.04.2008 i.e. date of the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court setting aside the Will of Ram Sahai within a period of one month.

Mr. Pritam Saini, learned counsel appearing on behalf of LR's of Surinder Kaur who are claiming disbursement of the amount in pursuance of the order passed by District and Sessions Judge, Ropar.

Mr. Brinda, learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioners submits, that amount of ₹19,50,000/- containing the element of 9% p.a. interest, has been deposited with the Executing Court on different dates and thus grievance of respondent would be redressed in case appropriate direction is issued to the Executing Court to disburse the amount of their share in accordance with law.

Keeping in view the aforementioned facts, nothing survives in the present revision petition.

Revision petition is disposed of with direction to the Executing Court to disburse the amount so deposited by the petitioner vis-a-vis share of the respondent in accordance with law, but, except Gurvinder Singh.

23.12.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**