

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.7608 of 2014
Date of Decision.11.03.2015**

2. **C.R. No.7849 of 2014**

3. **C.R. No.7937 of 2014**

Jasbir Singh Rath

Versus

.....Petitioner

Monika

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. Himanshu Puri, Advocate for
Mr. J.S. Ghuman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Swati Batra, Advocate for
Mr. G.P. Singh, Advocate
for the respondent.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The revision petitions are against the interim orders passed in the suit. The counsel for the respondent states that the suit itself was disposed of on merits on 17.01.2015. The counsel for the petitioner does not know the same.

2. The civil revisions are dismissed as having become infructuous. The petitioner will be at liberty to approach this Court for recalling the order if there is any defect or error in the representation made by the counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

March 11, 2015
Pankaj*