

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 7602 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 28.04.2015

Amardeep Singh

... Petitioner

versus

Ramanjot Kaur

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Anuj Raura, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. N.K. Vadhera, Advocate for the respondent.

K.Kannan, J.

The petitioner's side was closed in matrimonial proceedings. The petitioner has arrived before this Court with the plea that he has more witnesses to examine. The respondent objection was that he obtained several opportunities. The practice of coming to this Court for modifying the order at the time of trial is unfortunate and neither the bar nor the litigants take any lesson from the judgment rendered by this Court in Santosh Kumar Berry Vs. Nirmala Devi and others decided on 25.09.2012 reported in 2013 (1) PLR 404 which empowers them to approach the same Court seeking for modification of the order by setting out appropriate circumstances. Hardly, anyone applies this judgment and they would mindlessly come to this Court to seek for the same relief of what is possible before the trial Court itself. I exhort the counsel to assist the parties by keeping themselves updated of law so that they do not waste the resources of the client by mindless litigations.

The order is set aside and the petitioner will have the benefit of providing the additional evidence.

The civil revision is allowed with costs of ₹ 5,000 to be paid to the respondent, within four weeks from the date of passing of the order.

28.04.2015

ky

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE