

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.7581 of 2014(O&M)

Date of Decision : 31.3.2015

Sanjeev Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

R.D. Sharma and anr.

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURMIT RAM

Present: Petitioner in person represented by
Ms.Dhriti J. Sharma, Advocate.

Respondent No.1 in person represented by
Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate.

GURMIT RAM, J.

Petitioner-tenant who is present in Court today is requesting for time for vacating the demised premises till September, 2015 on the ground that his son is studying in law and his exams are to be held in the month of July, 2015.

2. On the other hand, respondent No.1 who is also present in Court today is requesting that if any time is to be granted to petitioner-tenant to vacate the demised premises, the same may not be more than one month.

3. As per the records, the respondent-landlord has succeeded to get the ejectment order against the petitioner-tenant for evicting him from the demised premises on the ground of personal necessity as well as non-payment of arrears of rent. The appeal filed in

this regard was also dismissed by the learned Appellate Authority, SAS Nagar, Mohali.

4. In the light of above circumstances and the contentions of both the parties, the petitioner-tenant is granted time to vacate the demised premises till 15th July, 2015 and he is directed to deliver its vacant possession to the respondents-landlords by that date positively. Further it is also made clear that petitioner-tenant will continue to pay the rent of the demised premises to the respondents-landlords during this period at the rate he is already paying by 7th of each month. In case the petitioner fails to comply with any of these conditions, then in that eventuality the respondents-landlords will be at liberty to get the possession of demised premises from him in due course of law.

With these observations, the above-said revision stands disposed of accordingly.

31.3.2015
Brij

(GURMIT RAM)
JUDGE