

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

118

**Civil Revision No.7847 of 2015(O & M)
Date of Decision:26.11.2015**

Sarla Rani

....petitioner

Versus

Pawan Kumar

.....respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr.A.P.S.Shergill, Advocate
for the petitioner

ARUN PALLI, J.(ORAL):-

Vide order dated 01.08.2015, rendered by Rent Controller, Chandigarh, eviction of the tenant-petitioner had been ordered. An appeal preferred by the petitioner was dismissed as withdrawn on 01.10.2015, as parties to the lis had entered into a compromise and their statements were recorded in this regard. The order being assailed, dated 01.10.2015, rendered by Appellate Authority, Chandigarh, reveals that pursuant to the settlement arrived at between the parties, petitioner-tenant was granted time till 01.10.2016 to vacate the demised premises without payment of any rent.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an illiterate lady and was never intimated about any such compromise, as even her signatures were obtained by misrepresentation and concealment of material facts by her counsel.

If that is so, petitioner ought to have moved the appellate authority,

Civil Revision No.7847 of 2015 (O & M)

itself, in the first instance.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he be permitted to withdraw the petition, so as to enable the petitioner to resort to the remedy as admissible in law.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

26.11.2015

neenu

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE