

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.7731 of 2013

Date of decision: 13.10.2015

Union of India and another

.....Petitioners

versus

Shree Gurudawara Sahib Dusehra Bagh, Sangrur

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Bishan Kumar, Advocate for
Mr.Pankaj Jain, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Mandip Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate for the respondent

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 1.8.2013, passed by the learned Civil Judge, Junior Division, Sangrur, whereby he has refused to appoint the Local Commissioner.

I have gone through the impugned order.

The lower Court after taking into consideration that there is no dispute regarding identity of property has come to the conclusion that the appointment of the Local Commissioner will not serve any purpose. The Local Commissioner can only say what he has seen at the spot. The identity of the property is admitted by the defendant in the written statement. Therefore, no useful purpose will be served by appointment of the Local Commissioner.

Exercising the revisional powers, this Court does not find any illegality or infirmity in the impugned order.

The revision stands dismissed.

13.10.2015

gk

GOPAL KRISHAN
2015.10.16 11:18
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
High Court Chandigarh

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**