

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.7730 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 14.01.2015

Sumit

. . . .Petitioner

Versus

Minakshi

. . . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.Dalip Kumar Tuteja, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Rakesh Nehra, Advocate,
for the respondent.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

This petition is arising out of an order dated 20.9.2013 by which application filed by the respondent under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 [for short 'the Act'] has been allowed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he has filed a petition under Section 12 and 13 of the Act in which he has already tendered the affidavits of his witnesses but the respondent is not cross-examining them, as a result thereof, the said petition is not making any headway and he is being burdened with the payment of maintenance during the pendency of the litigation in terms of the impugned order.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he would not press the present revision petition to challenge the impugned order, but an earnest request is made to

issue appropriate directions, while exercising power under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, to the trial Court, who is seized of the matter, to decide the *lis* expeditiously by giving short adjournments.

Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that he has no objection if the trial of the main petition is expedited by order of this Court and has also assured that the respondent would not take unnecessary adjournments and cooperate with the trial.

In view of the aforesaid, the present revision petition is hereby dismissed on merits. However, a direction is issued to the learned trial Court to decide the main petition, filed by the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of six months from the date of passing of this Court, by giving short adjournments.

14.01.2015

Vivek

**(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE**