

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CR No. 7833 of 2015
Date of decision :November 26, 2015

Ramesh Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Raghubir Singh and others

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Harish Mehla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

The present revision petition has been filed against the impugned order dated 6.10.2015 (Annexure P-1) whereby the trial court had closed the evidence of the petitioner-plaintiff by order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that only two witnesses of the Municipal Corporation, Kaithal have to be examined and in case, one opportunity is granted he will conclude the evidence.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and appraised the paper book.

I am of the view that in order to advance justice, it is appropriate to grant one opportunity to the petitioner-plaintiff to examine the aforementioned witnesses through the process of the

court, provided when he deposits the diet money and shall take the dasti summons to affect the service upon the aforementioned witnesses.

It is directed that the trial court shall ensure to summon the witnesses in accordance with law, however, the aforementioned order of mine shall be subject to payment of costs of ₹5,000/-.

It is made clear that the payment of costs would be condition precedent before availing the opportunity to examine the aforementioned witness.

The civil revision petition is allowed.

November 26, 2015
archana

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE