

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.7823 of 2015

Date of decision: 20.11.2015

Raj Kumar Garg

.....Petitioner

versus

Suresh Kumar and another

.....Respondents

**CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh**

Present: Mr.Alok Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

**Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)**

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 13.7.2015 (Annexure P4), learned Additional District Judge, Patiala and order dated 5.1.2015 (Annexure P3) passed by the learned Civil Judge, Junior Division, Patiala.

Both the Courts below have refused to grant temporary injunction to the plaintiff. It is claimed that he is tenant over the disputed property.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have also carefully gone through the file.

It comes out that the total suit property is 461 square yards, situated at Bagichi Mangal Dutt, Sirhindi Gate, Patiala and the plaintiff is stated to have parted with about 200 square yards of the

tenanted premises in favour of a sub-tenant, who further handed over the possession to the defendants. Plaintiff concealed the material fact from the Court. Therefore, apart from the other facts of admission of taking over possession by the defendants over the part of the property, the plaintiff has concealed the material facts from the Court. The plaintiff is not entitled to discretionary relief of injunction.

Resultantly, the revision petition stands dismissed.

**20.11. 2015**  
gk

**(KULDIP SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**