

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP no.10837 of 1995(O&M)

Date of Decision : 14.01.2015

C.C.S.Haryana Agricultural University, Bawal, District Rewari
....Petitioner

Versus

The Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal – cum- Labour Court, Gurgaon
and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

Present : Mr. Kshitij Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Addl. AG, Punjab
None for respondent no.2.

MAHESH GROVER, J.

There is no representation on behalf of the respondent no.2 - workman. He was proceeded against ex parte earlier. Relief of reinstatement was ordered by virtue of the impugned award. It has been brought to the notice of this Court that respondent no.2 has since expired. His legal representatives are also not on record. Therefore, it seems that the said respondent no.2 – workman has totally abdicated his interest in the proceedings.

On due consideration of the matter and upon perusal of the award which mentions that no evidence was led by the petitioner to contradict the claim of the workman, I would dispose of the instant petition keeping in view the totality of the circumstances by modifying the award and grant compensation of Rs.50,000/- to the workman. It is to be recorded here that no material has been shown by the petitioner to offset the findings of the Labour Court with regard to the deficiency of evidence before it.

Disposed of.

January 14, 2015
rekha

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE