

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.7816 of 2015
Date of decision: 20.11.2015**

Sorabh Bhardwaj

....Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Preeti Gaur and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Shyam Singh Chhokar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI J. (Oral)

The petitioner has come up in revision for setting-aside the order dated 12.10.2015 (Annexure P-1), passed by Civil Judge (Jr. Division), Karnal, whereby the application of the petitioner under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC has been dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is having a matrimonial dispute with the respondent and in a divorce petition filed by him at Noida, he had given the address of respondent No.1-Preeti Gaur at Karnal.

In reply to the application, the complainant had taken the stand that the divorce petition was filed by her husband by giving her address as House No.1368, Sector 9, U.E., Karnal. The father of the respondent-complainant was working in the office of

XEN, C.P.W.D., Karnal and service was effected upon her on this address and thereafter, she has joined the divorce proceedings.

The trial Court has rightly dismissed the application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC by observing that the complainant could make the complaint by giving the same address which was given by the petitioner-respondent in the divorce petition and as per the report of Process Server, the house was found locked. This fact is further evident from the report of the Process Server placed on record (Annexure P-4). The respondent has given the address of Karnal, where her father is working. So, no ground for interference is made out.

Dismissed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

20.11.2015

yakub