

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-:-

**C.R. No.7695 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of Decision:17.11.2015**

Smt. Harkanwal Kaur & Ors.

.... Petitioners.

Versus

Satya Devi

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

- 1.Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3.Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Sunil Chadha, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. Chetan Bansal, Advocate, for the petitoners.

Mr. Aayush Gupta, Advocate, for the respondent.

ARUN PALLI, J.(ORAL)

Vide order, being assailed, dated 16.11.2013 rendered by the learned Rent Controller, Ludhiana, application moved by the tenant-petitioner seeking leave to contest the eviction petition had since been dismissed. And that is how the tenant is before this Court.

On December 17, 2013, this Court has passed the following order:-

“ Counsel for the petitioners contends that need of the respondent-landlady is not bona fide in the instant case as she has sold her whole property except the demised premises before and during the pendency of the petition.

Notice of motion for 04.02.2014.

Execution of the impugned order is stayed till the next date of hearing.”

During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the parties have reached a consensus that let the impugned order dated 16.11.2013 be set aside and the tenant-petitioner be granted leave to contest the eviction petition on merits. However, for the eviction petition was filed as back as on 13.01.2006 and almost a decade has gone by, Rent Controller be directed to decide the matter within a specified time.

That being so, the order dated 16.11.2013 is set aside. Consequently, tenant-petitioners is granted leave to contest the eviction petition on merits. Rent Controller is directed to decide the eviction petition within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. Needless to assert that Rent Controller shall formulate the requisite time schedule for the purpose of filing written statement, replication, if any and opportunity to the parties to lead their respective evidence so that the matter is concluded within the afore indicated period.

Revision petition is disposed of in the above terms.

November 17, 2015
tripti

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE