

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.7795 of 2015
Date of Decision: November 20, 2015

Ajay Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Wazir

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**Present: Mr.Surinder Gandhi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner-plaintiff is in revision petition against the order dated 19.10.2015 declining the application for appointment of the Local Commissioner.

Mr.Surinder Gandhi, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the impugned order has been erroneously, much less perversely passed as a valuable right for proving the case has been taken away.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and appraised the paper book.

At the stage of rebuttal evidence, the appointment of the Local Commissioner has been sought. In my view, it tantamounts to filling up the lacunae. It was incumbent upon the petitioner to lead evidence in affirmative, which he failed to do so. There is no justification in allowing the application for appointment of Local Commissioner. The order cannot be said to have been passed without jurisdiction.

Revision petition is accordingly dismissed.

November 20, 2015
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE