

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

VARINDER SINGH
2015.07.24 10:35
Attest to the accuracy and integrity
of this document
Punjab & Haryana High Court at
Chandigarh

CR No. 766 of 2013 (O&M)

Beg Raj and another

.. Petitioners

versus

Smt. Bhawna Seth and another

.. Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present: Mr. Sudhir Aggarwal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Sachin Mittal, Advocate, for respondent no. 1.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

Challenge in the present petition is to the order dated 10.12.2012 passed by the learned Court whereby the application filed by respondent no. 1 under Order IX Rule 13 CPC for setting aside the ex-parte judgment and decree dated 23.7.1997, was allowed.

When the case was taken up for hearing, considering the facts mentioned in the impugned order, learned counsel for the petitioners was asked to apprise the court about the status of the case before the Court below. However, he could not reply to the query made by the Court. The Registry was asked to get the status of the case.

As per the information received, the suit itself has already been decided by the learned Court below on 31.7.2014.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that as the suit itself has been decided, the present petition may be dismissed as infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

13.7.2015

vs

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge