

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.7569 of 2012

Date of Decision.20.04.2015

Subhash Chander

.....Petitioner

Versus

Ram Pal and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kapil Khanna, Advocate
for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Mr. Sudhir Rana, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

Ms. Vandana Malhotra, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ? No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? No

-. -

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner says that interim injunction had been granted on 30.01.2013 with certain conditions and the same is still in force and the counsel also states that evidence of both parties have been completed and it stands now for final arguments on 27.04.2015. The counsel gives an undertaking that he will conclude the arguments on the day when the case is posted subject to the availability of the time given by the Court. In view of the undertaking given by the petitioner, I do not think any modification of the order is called for and the order passed on 30.01.2013 will continue till the conclusion of the suit and till the judgment is pronounced. The ultimate

decision will conclude the rights of parties.

2. The civil revision is disposed of on the above terms.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

April 20, 2015
Pankaj*