

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 7432 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: March 27, 2015

Nayant Ahuja

...Petitioner

Versus

Tina Ahuja

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Atul Gaur, Advocate, for
Mr. Sumeet Goel, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

The petition is against the interim maintenance granted at the rate of ₹25,000/- per month. The court considered the income tax returns in which the petitioner was a partner that showed his income ₹60,000-65,000/- per month. The petitioner is aggrieved that the court has not properly taken note of the loan re-payment on the property which has been purchased and left at the hands of the wife. I have seen the income tax returns. I find that he is running a business of interior decoration in up-market place at New Delhi. I will not find a provision of ₹25,000/- per month directed to be paid against the husband who has high income and social status to complain that the amount directed to be paid by the court was high. I make no intervention. The revision petition is dismissed.

March 27, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

