

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 7713 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: November 19, 2015

Gaurav Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Miyan Singh

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Munish Kumar Garg, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

There is no scope for interference where the written statement was allowed to be amended to bring a legal plea that the plaintiff having no money lending licence cannot enforce the recovery. The learned counsel argues that the order is a non-speaking and as such deserves to be set aside. Even if the impugned order does not set out reason, I find the reason could be fed into the order by me that it is legal plea which ought to be permitted to be taken.

There is no merit in the revision. Dismissed.

November 19, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE