

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CR No.7598 of 2013(O&M)  
Date of decision: 24.09.2015

Surinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Amar Nath and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Amandeep Saini, Advocate, for the petitioner.  
Mr. Chaman Lal Pawar, Advocate  
for the respondents.

\*\*\*

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

\*\*\*

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that since the suit itself stands dismissed and even the appeal preferred by the petitioner has failed, nothing substantive survives in this petition and the same be dismissed as having become infructuous.

Ordered, accordingly.

September 24, 2015  
Rajan

( Arun Palli )  
Judge