

**109 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.7678 of 2015

Date of Decision: November 18, 2015

Atma Ram

.... Petitioner

vs.

Kashmiro and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Hitesh Kaplish, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 17.10.2015 (Annexure P-1) passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Phagwara, vide which the application of the plaintiff filed under Order VI, Rule 17 CPC for amendment of the plaint was allowed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the amendment of the plaint was allowed at the initial stage so as to include other land.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have also gone through the impugned order.

Leaving aside the merits of the case, which have to be decided after awarding opportunity to both the parties, there is no ground to interfere in the impugned order.

Hence, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

November 18, 2015
sarita