

**107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.7662 of 2015

Date of Decision: November 18, 2015

Municipal Corporation and others

.... Petitioners

vs.

Bhanwari and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Ms. Deepali Puri, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 28.04.2015 (Annexure P-2) passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Chandigarh, vide which the defence of the present petitioners was struck off.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the previous counsel did not take interest and did not inform the Municipal Corporation that the written statement was not filed and that the defence of the Municipal Corporation was struck off.

Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks one opportunity to file the written statement, subject to payment of costs.

Keeping in view that fact that the vital interest of the respondents are involved, the Corporation is required to take some stand in the suit, the petitioners-Corporation are granted one more

opportunity to file written statement subject to payment of costs of ₹5,000/- to be deposited with the District Legal Aid Funds, Chandigarh.

Hence, the present revision petition stands allowed.

November 18, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE