

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 7558 of 2013 (O/M)
Date of decision : 3.9.2015

Murti Mandir Raghunath Ji Maharaj Revisionist

Versus

Deepak and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ashok Kumar Jindal, Advocate, for the revisionist.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 6.8.2013 (Annexure-P-3), passed by the learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Narnaul, vide which the application under Order 1 Rule 10 of Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 ('CPC') filed by Deepak Chela Gordhan Dass, was allowed.

It comes out that there is dispute regarding the possession. Deepak (respondent No. 1 herein) claims to be Mohtmim, whereas the suit has been filed by the institution Murti Mandir Raghunath Ji Maharaj through Mahant Nand Kishore Dass. Therefore, if the lower Court has decided to hear the claim, there is no illegality in the same.

The present revision is accordingly dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

3.9.2015
sjks