

212.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.7378 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of decision: 07.12.2015

& COCP No.1862 of 2013 (O&M)

Sonia Sharma and others

... Petitioners

versus

Vishnu Dutt Sharma and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Nand Lal Sammi, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Deepali Puri, Advocate,
for the respondents.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ?No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. Injunction was declined by the court on a reasoning that the daughter-in-law is only a licensee and she cannot maintain an action for injunction.

2. Residence is an important facet of maintenance for a widowed daughter-in-law and her child and she cannot be thrown out from the house because the mother-in-law is the only owner.

The issue of whether the right of maintenance will be lost if she did

not have a proprietary right will abide by the decision to be rendered in suit.

3. The counsel says that there have been talks of compromise. If there is a compromise between parties duly entered, the observations made above will stand supplanted by the compromise decree. If nothing has been brought on record, the decision in suit will determine whether the plaintiff is entitled to the relief or not.

4. The revision petition is disposed of with the above directions. The contempt petition is closed as requiring no consideration in the light of the observations made above.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

07.12.2015
sanjeev