

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.7546 of 2015(O&M)
Date of decision: 19.11.2015

Raman Kumar and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Krishan Lal and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Vivek Rattan, Advocate, for the petitioners.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Vide order being assailed, dated 30.09.2015, rendered by Appellate Authority, Sangrur, a report under Order 41 Rule 5 of the Code of Civil Procedure has been sought from the Rent Controller as regards the ground of change of user. Concededly, landlord-respondent has sought eviction of the petitioner on two grounds i.e. personal necessity and change of user. However, Rent Controller only examined the issue as regards the personal necessity and dismissed the eviction petition. That being the conceded position, all what the appellate authority has asked for is a report on the other ground i.e. change of user.

Learned counsel for the petitioners fairly submits that pursuant to the order being assailed, Rent Controller has since even submitted the report.

That being so, no ground is made out to interfere with the order being assailed, in exercise of revisional jurisdiction. Petition being devoid of merit is accordingly dismissed.

November 19, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge