

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.7346 of 2012

Date of decision: 21.04.2015

Harbhajan Singh

... Petitioner

versus

Sunil Kumar

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Beant Singh, Advocate,
for Mr. B.S. Bhalla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.K. Arora, Advocate,
for the respondent.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. The plaintiff sought for production of additional documents after the side was closed. The suit is for enforcement of a cheque for recovery of amount alleged to have been undertaken to pay by the respondent. The attempt of the petitioner-plaintiff was to make reference to some admitted documents where the defendant had executed a promissory note and there was also a decree in relation thereto. I cannot find any serious prejudice to be caused to the respondent for the sheer delay in production of the documents but for the inconvenience caused to the respondent, I impose cost of ₹10,000/- against the petitioner to pay to the contesting defendant within a period of 4 weeks.

2. The impugned order is set aside and the civil revision is allowed on the above terms.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

21.04.2015

sanjeev