

**120 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.7528 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: November 06, 2015

Jagan Lal

.... Petitioner

vs.

Lakhan Lal and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. R.S. Hooda, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 21.10.2015 (Annexure P-3) passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Hodal, vide which the petitioner-plaintiff was declined to grant ad-interim injunction.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have also carefully gone through the case file.

A perusal of the impugned order shows that in this case as many as 57 persons have been made as party. The case is still pending for service. The lower court has held that at this stage, no ground is made out for ad-interim injunction. It shows that the application is still pending and it is purely interlocutory order. The

application is yet to be decided after appearance and hearing of the parties. Thus, at this stage, there is no ground to interfere in the impugned order.

The trial court is directed to decide the application for interim injunction expeditiously on merits after hearing all the parties.

In view of the above, the present revision petition is disposed of.

November 06, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE