

258.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.749 of 2015

Date of decision: 04.12.2015

Kala Singh alias Kaka Singh

... Petitioner

versus

Ram Lal and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr.K.S. Hissowal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surinder Gaur, Advocate,
for Mr.Surinder Garg, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ?No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ? No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. Respondents 2 (a) (b), respondent No.4 and 3(a) duly served. Respondent 3(b) refused to accept summon. Summons deemed to have been effected. Service is complete.

2. Application filed for restoration of the suit which was dismissed for default in 2010 was ordered to be closed for evidence when the plaintiff did not give evidence. The counsel says that even to tender evidence on the application, one opportunity is given.

3. The order already passed is set aside on payment of costs of ₹10,000/- to the respondents within a period of 2 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.
4. The revision petition is allowed on the above terms.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

04.12.2015
sanjeev