

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.7476 of 2015
Date of decision: 05.11.2015**

Anil Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

Santosh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in the application filed by the petitioner under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC, rejection of the plaint was sought inter-alia, on the ground of affixation of Court fee.

Lower Court vide impugned order dated 30.9.2015, has dealt with the other grounds but the ground of affixation of Court fee has not been dealt with.

I have examined the impugned order, where no mention is made regarding adjudication on the point of the affixation of Court fee. Therefore, the impugned order is set aside and the case is remanded back to the lower Court to decide the application afresh on all the grounds claimed in the application.

Revision is allowed accordingly.

05.11.2015

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**