

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No. 7433 of 2015

Date of decision:- 05.11.2015

Joginder Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Parmari Devi and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI J.(Oral)

Challenge in this revision petition is to order dated 24.08.2015 passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.) Karnal vide which the application under Order 21 Rule 32 CPC has been dismissed.

In the impugned order, it has been rightly observed that even in the earlier application, the petitioner/decreed holder has sought delivery of possession of the suit property which clearly proves that the story regarding his dispossession after the dismissal of the earlier execution has been subsequently coined by him in order to make the basis for filing of the impugned application.

No ground is made out to interfere in order dated 24.08.2015 passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.) Karnal

The petition stands dismissed.

November 05, 2015

G Arora

(***RITU BAHRI***)

JUDGE

GAURAV ARORA
2015.11.06 15:54
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document