

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CR No.7429 of 2015(O&M)  
Date of decision: 19.11.2015

Raj Rani @ Mandeep Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

Ashok Kumar

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Satbir Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

\*\*\*

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Vide order dated 27.03.2015, Rent Controller had assessed the provisional rent. Concededly, petitioner-tenant failed to tender the rent on the appointed date and thus, the order of eviction followed. In an appeal preferred against the said order, it was sought to be urged that during the pendency of the appeal, petitioner had since deposited the arrears of rent, but in reference to the decision rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Rakesh Wadhawan and others v. M/s Jagdamba Industrial Corporation and others, 2003(2) CCC 361, it was concluded that once the provisional rent was assessed, tenant was required to tender the assessed rent on the next date of hearing and the failure could only entail an order of eviction. Resultantly, vide order dated 14.09.2015,

even the appeal was also dismissed. This is how, tenant-petitioner is before this court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner could not point out as to how the conclusions arrived at by both the authorities were either erroneous or suffered from any material illegality.

No ground is made out to interfere with the orders being assailed, in exercise of revisional jurisdiction. Petition being devoid of merit is accordingly dismissed.

November 19, 2015  
Rajan

( Arun Palli )  
Judge