

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CR No.7243 of 2012 (O&M)
Date of decision: 02.03.2015

Shyam Sunder Duggal

.....Petitioner

Versus

Tilak Raj Duggal

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr.Vishal Sodhi,Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr.H.S.Awasthi,Advocate
for the respondent.

--

SABINA, J

Petitioner has filed this petition challenging the order dated 7.11.2012 (Annexure P3).

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record available on the file carefully.

Application was filed by the petitioner-Judgment debtor to allow him to file objections. Vide the impugned Order dated 7.11.2012 (Annexure P3), the said application was dismissed. A perusal of the impugned order reveals that the numerous opportunities were granted to the petitioner to file objections but he failed to do so. In fact, the decree was passed by the Lok Adalat, on the basis of the statement made by the petitioner, and the suit filed by the respondent-Tilak Raj Duggal was decreed for specific performance of agreement to sell. During the course of arguments, it

has transpired that the petitioner had received the entire sale consideration. Hence, the Executing Court had rightly dismissed the application moved by the petitioner. No ground for interference by this Court is made out.

Dismissed.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

March 02, 2014
arya