

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No. 742 of 2015.
Date of Decision : 20.04.2015.

Harmesh Kumar and another ...Petitioners

Versus

Jatinder Pal Singh and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN.

Present: Mr. Vivek Suri, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rishav Jain, Advocate for respondent No. 1.

K. Kannan, J.

There is literally no dispute, for, both parties agree that only the property in Khasra No. 54/8/1/1 of an extent of 10 marla is decreed. The objectors' apprehension is that possession in respect of property in Khasra No.54/8/1/2 will be disturbed from his hands. The delivery of possession shall confine the warrant only to the property contained in decree. The bailiff will take the assistance of the Tehsildar of the village and identify the property which is contained in the decree and deliver the same and if, there is any resistance by the objectors in respect of the portion so identified, he shall cause the objections to be removed and if necessary with the police assistance demolish any of the property so identified. No portion of property in Khasra No.54/8/1/2 shall be delivered.

Civil revision petition is disposed of.

April 20, 2015.

kanchan

KANCHAN
2015.04.24 14:06
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE