

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 7399 of 2015 (O/M)
Date of decision : 4.11.2015

Sukhchain Singh

..... Revisionist

Versus

Sawinder Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate, for the revisionist.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- .- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 30.4.2015 (Annexure-P-2), passed by the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Tarn Taran, vide which the defence of the defendant was struck off for not filing the written statement within 90 days of appearance.

The learned counsel for the revisionist contends that the defendant had fallen ill. Therefore, he could not give proper instructions to the counsel.

It comes out that the suit was for specific performance of the agreement of sale dated 16.6.2011, where land measuring 8 kanals is involved. Therefore, though, there is no ground to allow further time to the defendant to file the written statement, but

CR No. 7399 of 2015 (O/M)

keeping in view the nature of the controversy as one time concession, the defendant is given 15 days' time to file the written statement, subject to payment of Rs. 10,000/- as costs, to be deposited in District Legal Aid Fund, Tarn Taran.

The present revision is accordingly disposed of, with above-noted observations.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

4.11.2015
sjks