

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.7397 of 2015(O&M)
Date of decision: 04.11.2015

Bimla Devi and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Mender Singh and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Aman Bahri, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Having argued the matter at some length, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he be permitted to withdraw the petition, for the appellate authority has already heard the arguments and even the written arguments have also been submitted. However, he contends that a direction be issued to the appellate authority to decide the appeal within a specified time.

That being so, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn. For, the arguments in appeal have already been addressed, it would be futile to still issue a direction to the appellate authority for expeditious disposal of the appeal. As, of course, the appellate authority shall decide the case at the earliest.

November 4, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

