

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 7104 of 2014 (O/M)
Date of decision : 18.12.2015

Ramanwant Kaur Virk

..... Revisionist

Versus

Sh. Satwinderwant Singh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Harsh Garg, Advocate, for the revisionist.

Mr. Mohit Setia, Advocate, for,
Mr. Gaurav Chopra, Advocate, for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Namit Gautam, Advocate,
for respondents No. 2 to 4.

None for respondents No. 5 and 6.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the revisionist contends that the Court Guardian, appointed by the lower Court, vide order dated 24.12.2013, is taking some plea, which is not in the interest of revisionist, who happens to be the sister of Satwinderwant Singh (defendant No. 2).

CR No. 7104 of 2014 (O/M)

Vide impugned order dated 24.12.2013, Shri Charanjiv Bhardhwaj, Advocate, was appointed as Court Guardian by the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Ludhiana, as defendant No. 2 was found to be mentally retarded. The present revisionist, who happens to be defendant No. 1-A and sister of defendant No. 2 has filed the present revision. The learned counsel states that the Court Guardian is not taking care of the interest of defendant No. 2. Therefore, he wishes to withdraw the present revision with liberty to file an application for removal of the Court Guardian on certain grounds.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

18.12.2015
sjks