

**250 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.739 of 2015
Date of Decision: August 25, 2015**

Lakhwinder Singh

.... Petitioner

vs.

Amarjit Kaur

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Gupta, Advocate for the respondent.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

None has appeared for the petitioner, despite second call.

Therefore, I proceed to dispose of the matter.

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 04.07.2014 (Annexure P-2) passed by learned Addl. District Judge, Gurdaspur, an application filed under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, vide which the pending disposal of the petition, filed under Section 13 of the said Act, the present petitioner was ordered to pay ₹6,000/- per month as maintenance to the wife as well as the minor daughter along with ₹8,000/- as litigation expenses.

I have heard learned counsel for the respondent and considered the case of the petitioner.

Learned Addl. District Judge, Gurdaspur has come to the conclusion that it is the duty of a husband to maintain his wife and minor daughter. Taking into consideration the status of the parties and the needs of the respondents, the impugned order was passed,

I am of the view that now-a-days, ₹6,000/- per month for maintenance of wife and minor child, cannot be called excessive.

It being so, no ground is found to interfere in the impugned order.

The present revision petition is accordingly dismissed.

August 25, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE