

**122 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.7348 of 2015

Date of Decision: November 03, 2015

Smt. Usha Kumari

.... Petitioner

vs.

Raghu Raj Singh and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Heard.

The application for framing of additional issue regarding the suit property being ancestral property was declined by the learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Hodal, vide order dated 25.09.2015 on the ground that it is covered under issue No.1.

I have gone through the plaint and the written statement. The plea taken by the plaintiff is that the suit property, which has alleged to be bequeathed by way of Will dated 15.05.2008 by her husband is ancestral property. Accordingly, if any issue arises from the pleadings, it has to be specifically framed and determined.

Therefore, the impugned order is set aside and the lower court is directed to hear both the parties and go through the pleadings and if any specific issue arises, the same shall be separately framed and determined in accordance with law.

Accordingly, the present revision petition stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)

JUDGE

November 03, 2015

sarita