

206.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.7128 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.12.2015

Rajni Kundra

... Petitioner

versus

Onkar Singh

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr.Parminder Singh Kanwar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. H.K. Aurora, Advocate,
for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ?No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. There is an affidavit of undertaking given by the respondent, which is taken on record. The undertaking however is not accepted. It is declined, for, it sets up conditions which were not contemplated at the time when the order was passed. There shall be attachment before the judgment of the respondent's properties.

2. The order passed is set aside and the revision petition is allowed on the above terms.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

22.12.2015

sanjeev