

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR NO.7114 OF 2012 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 11th FEBRUARY, 2015**

Mangat Rai

.... Petitioner

Versus

Jai Pal Mittal

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

* * * *

Present : Mr. Namit Gautam, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sunil Chadha, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Pallavi Singh, Advocate for the respondent.

* * * *

SURINDER GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

1. Heard.
2. Both the counsel for the parties submit that the ejectment petition is pending before the Rent Controller, Ludhiana for the last more than eight years. The provisional rent was assessed @ ₹800/- per month but under the order passed in this revision petition on 29.11.2012 the revision petitioner had tendered the Rent at the rate of ₹408/- per month.
3. Both the counsel for the parties submit that instead of deciding this matter in this revision petition, the Rent Controller may be directed to dispose of the ejectment petition expeditiously and in the event of the plea of the landlord regarding the rate of rent being accepted, the revision petitioner be allowed time to pay the remaining amount of rent as per the final order.
4. In view of the above submissions made by counsel for the parties, this revision petition is disposed of with direction to the Rent

Controller, Ludhiana to dispose of the ejectment petition within six months. In case the findings are recorded that the landlord has been able to prove the rate of rent as alleged by him, the revision petitioner will be allowed time by the Rent Controller to pay the balance rent amount.

5. Copy of this order be conveyed to the Rent Controller, Ludhiana.

11th February, 2015
'raj'

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE