

126

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.7293 of 2015
Date of Decision: 02.11.2015**

DHARAMPAL

.....Petitioner

Vs

HARYANA STATE & ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. J.P. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

[1]. This revision petition is directed against the order dated 16.10.2015 passed by Additional District Judge, Rewari vide which order dated 08.09.2014 passed by Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.) Rewari has been affirmed vide which application under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC has been dismissed.

[2]. Admittedly, revenue record viz. Jamabandi for the year 2004-05 shows the Gram Panchayat to be recorded owner of Killa No.38/2 and the same is in possession of Education Department. This killa number has not been shown as *Rasta Sare-aam*. Gram Panchayat is recorded as owner of khasra No.89. Possession of the plaintiff is no where depicted over khasra No.89.

[3]. Concededly the petitioner has already been evicted in terms of Section 7 of Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961 and the same has been upheld right upto this Court. If the controversy is tested on the threshold of all the three ingredients for the grant of interim direction, the case of the plaintiff is lacking.

[4]. No indulgence can be granted in view of nature of pleadings on record and *prima facie* evidence brought out by the parties at this stage. Consequently, this revision petition stands dismissed.

[6]. Any observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an opinion on merits of this case.

November 02, 2015

Atik

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**